## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

CARMEN TRIANA.

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 3:21-cv-202

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227

CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., Defendant.

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Carmen Triana ("Plaintiff"), through her attorneys, alleges the following against Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., ("Defendant"):

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based upon the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. The TCPA is a federal statute that broadly regulates the use of automated telephone equipment. Among other things, the TCPA prohibits certain unsolicited marketing calls, restricts the use of automatic dialers or prerecorded messages, and delegates rulemaking authority to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").
- 2. The Plaintiff suffered very harms that the TCPA was created to address, including disturbing her quiet enjoyment, peace and tranquility, creating a nuisance, invading her privacy-intrusion upon seclusion, as provided in § 652B of the

Restatement (Second) of Torts. § 652B prohibits an intentional intrusion, "physically or otherwise, upon the solitude or seclusion of another or her private affairs or concerns... that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. Subject matter jurisdiction of the Court arises under 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(1) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and Division.
- 5. Defendants are at home and transact business in this District and Division, thus personal jurisdiction is established.

# **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the State of Kansas.
- 7. Defendant is a banking institution engaged in the business of issuing credit cards, with a principal place of business located in Richmond, Virginia, and physical locations throughout Virginia, including a call center in Glen Allen, Virginia. Defendant can be served with process at its registered agent Corporation Service Company, 100 Shockoe Slip, Fl. 2, Richmond, VA 22219.
- 8. Defendants acted through their agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,

representatives, and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. Plaintiff is a single mother of two children and the financial responsibilities of the household fall entirely on her. Due to COVID-19 affecting her employment, Plaintiff had to choose between making payments on her Capital One accounts or pay for her household necessities.
- 10. As a result of her financial struggles, Plaintiff was unable to keep up with all her monthly obligations, specifically her Capital One accounts.
- 11. Upon information and belief, after Plaintiff fell behind on her Capital One accounts, her interest rates increased and late payments were added to her account balances, making it even more difficult to make the monthly payments.
- 12. In or around July of 2020, Defendant began calling Plaintiff's cellular phone number ending in 7916, in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 13. The calls placed by Defendant originated from the following numbers: (800) 955-6600; and (800) 365-2024.
- 14. On or about July 8, 2020 at 11:57 a.m., Plaintiff answered a collection call from Defendant; Plaintiff heard a pause before the collection agent began to speak, indicating the use of an automated telephone dialing system; Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's representative from telephone number (800) 955-6600.
  - 15. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt

relating to her Capital One account.

- 16. On this call, Plaintiff explained her financial situation to Capital One and that she was unable to make a payment. Furthermore, Plaintiff unequivocally revoked consent to be called any further. Despite Plaintiff's instruction that she not to be called, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff.
- 17. On or about August 14, 2020 at 11:43 a.m., Plaintiff answered a collection call from Defendant; Plaintiff heard a pause before the collection agent began to speak, indicating the use of an automated telephone dialing system; Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's representative from telephone number (800) 365-2024.
- 18. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt relating to her Capital One Menards account.
- 19. On this call and for a second time, Plaintiff explained her financial situation to Capital One and that she was unable to make a payment. Furthermore, Plaintiff unequivocally revoked consent to be called any further. Despite Plaintiff's second request not to be contacted, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff nearly every day until January 28, 2021.
- 20. Between July 8, 2020 and January 31, 2021, Defendant called Plaintiff no less than one hundred and seventy-five (475) times.
  - 21. Defendant called Plaintiff between one (1) and three (3) times a day.

- 22. Many times, when Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, there was a pre-recorded message or no person on the phone.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant would call Plaintiff's family and/or friends to embarrass Plaintiff and induce her to make a payment on the Account.
- 24. Defendant's daily calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone were a constant disruption and reminder of her financial situation, exacerbating Plaintiff's stress and anxiety.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages including but not limited to, emotional and mental pain and anguish.

# **COUNT I Defendant's Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227**

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one (1) through twenty-five (25) as though set forth at length herein.
- 27. Defendant violated the TCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions,

    Defendants violated TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii) which states in
    pertinent part, "It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States

    . . . to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or
    made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any

- automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a . . . cellular telephone service . . . or any service for which the called party is charged for the call.
- b. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions, Defendant willfully and/or knowingly contacted Plaintiff at Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an artificial prerecorded voice or an automatic telephone dialing system and as such, Defendant knowing and/or willfully violated the TCPA.
- 28. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B). If the Court finds that Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00), for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C)
- 29. Defendant violated Plaintiff's privacy. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a. Defendant intentionally intruded, physically or otherwise, upon Plaintiff's solitude and seclusion by engaging in harassing phone calls in an attempt to collect on an alleged debt despite requests for the calls to cease.
  - b. Defendant's conduct would be highly offensive to a reasonable person as

- Plaintiff received calls that interrupted Plaintiff's work and sleep schedule.
- c. Defendant's acts, as described above, were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's violations, Plaintiff suffered invasion of her privacy, intrusion upon her seclusion, her right to quiet enjoyment and peace was disturbed.
- 31. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress, mental and physical injuries as a result of emotional distress caused by Defendant's incessant harassment by unwanted, auto-dialed telephone calls against Plaintiff's express revocation of consent and instructions to not call.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Carmen Triana, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant violated the TCPA;
- B. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C);
- C. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- D. Any other relief that the Court deems appropriate.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/Susan Rotkis
Susan Rotkis
VSB 40693
PRICE LAW GROUP APC
382 S. Convent Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85716
T: (818) 600-5506

E: susan@pricelawgroup.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carmen Triana